

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATI	ON NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/065	,700	11/11/2002	Erik Chell	125690-3	2348
· 23446	7590	09/09/2005		EXAM	INER
MCA	NDREWS	HELD & MALLO	CHURCH, CRAIG E		
500 V	VEST MADI	SON STREET			
SUIT	E 3400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHIC	CAGO II. 6	50661		2882	

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/065,700	CHELL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Craig E. Church	2882				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,11 and 21</u> is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10 and 12-19</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-9,11 and 21</u> is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) <u>10 and 12-19</u> is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/12/05, 6/29/05. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/065,700 Page 2

Art Unit: 2882

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 10 and 12-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph because the

specification while being enabling for imaging system calibration employing a multipin

phantom does not reasonably provide enablement for such calibration without a multipin

phantom. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it

pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected to practice the invention

commensurate in scope with these claims. The invention as described in the

specification requires imaging a multipin phantom which is not recited in these claims.

Furthermore, the disclosure teaches that the array of detectors is irradiated by an x-ray

beam and not electrons as recited in claim 10.

Claims 1-9 and 21 are allowed.

Claim 11 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the

base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner

Church at telephone number 571-272-2488.

Craig E. Church Primary Examiner

raig E Church